

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/918,399	07/30/2001		Peter Trefonas III	50792	4635	
21874	7590	08/09/2004		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS P.O. BOX 5		ELL, LLP	BARRECA, NICOLE M			
BOSTON, MA 02205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1756		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>•</u>	Application No.	A (2					
· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	09/918,399	TREFONAS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nicole M. Barreca	1756					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the peri	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day by will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, ,	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,18-23 and 39-42</u> is/are rejected							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-17,24-38,43 and 44</u> is/are objected to.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					
0 Part 1 000							

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a copy of the non-final rejection, originally mailed on 1/30/03, which was never received by the applicant. Deanna Rivernider (12/1/03) confirmed that the applicant did not receive a copy of this action following the decision to withdraw the abandonment and that the correct mailing address is PO Box 9169.
- 2. Claims 1-44 are pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. IDS's filed 7/9/02 and 5/21/03 have been considered. Duplicate documents have been crossed-off.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 6-17, 24-38 and 43-44 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-17, 24-38 and 43-44 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 5. Please note that claim 42 as written does not exclude the composition from further comprising an acid and has been interpreted by the examiner as the antireflective composition further comprising either an acid or an acid generator compound that is a thermal acid generator compound.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1756

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 7. Claims 1, 5, 18, 39, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 10-301268 (based on Derwent Abstract and the English translation from JPO).
- 8. JP 10-301268 discloses antireflection film material which contains a crosslinking agent, solvent and one or both of an acid compound and a basic compound (cl.1, 39, 41, 42). The antireflection film is used for forming a photoresist pattern by exposing a chemical amplification resist through a mask, prebaking and then developing (cl.18). See the Derwent abstract. The addition of the acid and/or the basic compound is controlled according to the amount of acid produced from the acid generator of the chemically amplified resist. By controlling the acidity at the interface between the resist film and the antireflective film, contraction and trailing of the resist pattern can be avoided and pattern resolution can be improved. An example of the base is N methyl pyrrolidone, which contains N and O atoms (cl.5). See also the abstract, [0015], [0026] and [0027] of the English translation.
- 9. Claims 1, 5, 18-20, 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sinta (US Patent 5,866,102).
- 10. Sinta discloses antireflective coating compositions comprising a resin binder and a crosslinker, with low basicity crosslinkers, such as methoxy methylated glycouril (containing N and O atoms) being particularly preferred (cl.1, 5, 39, 40). The antireflective composition preferably further includes an acid or acid generator in order

Art Unit: 1756

to promote the crosslinking reaction of the glycouril compound during the curing of the antireflective coating (cl.41). Preferably the acid generator is a thermal acid generator (cl.42). See col. 7, l. 31-col.8, l. 50. The antireflective coating is applied to a substrate and thermally cured, with the acid generator promoting the crosslinking (cl.19, 20). A photoresist is then applied over the antireflective surface, imaged through a mask and developed (cl.18). See col.12, 1-65.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2-4 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10-301268 as applied to claims 1 or 18 above, and further in view of Hatakeyama (US Patent 5,985,512).
- 13. JP 10-301268 teaches an antireflective composition comprising a basic material and a crosslinker, wherein the basic compound is used to control the acidity caused by the photoacid generator at the interface between the resist film and the antireflective film. While JP 10-301268 only gives a single example of a basic compound and does not disclose using a basic material having a pKa of about 3 or greater, a pKa of about 6 of greater or a pKa of about 9 or greater, the reference does teach that the basic compound is not limited to the example given in formula 3 and that the kind and amount of the basic compound will depend on the acidity of the kind of resist used. See [0028]-

Art Unit: 1756

[0031] of English translation. Hatakeyama teaches examples of strong nitrogenous basic compounds having pKa's of at least 7, preferably 7.5 to 13, which will capture acid generated by radiation (col.3, 1-6, col.4, 30-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a strong basic compound having a pKa preferably between 7.5 and 13 to the antireflective composition in the method of JP 10-301268 in order to control the acidity of the resist/antireflective interface, if the kind of resist being used required, because JP 10-301268 teaches that the choice of the basic compound is dependent on the kind of resist being used and Hatakeyama teaches that basic compounds having pKa's preferably between 7.5 and 13 are known to capture acid generated by radiation.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Scholz (US Patent 6,040,053) discloses an antireflective coating comprising an inorganic metal oxide in combination with silane or siloxane oligomer. Yoshida (US Patent 5,853,471) discloses an antireflection composition comprising an organic amine (but no crosslinking agent). Padmanaban (US Patent 6,329,117) discloses an antireflective composition comprising a polymer, crosslinking agent and a thermal acid generator. Kang (US Patent 6,465,148) discloses that resists are adversely affected when an antireflective film is too alkaline or too acidic. Ishibashi (US Patent 5,554,489) disclose applying an antireflective film, which is adjusted to alkalinity, on a photoresist layer.

Art Unit: 1756

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicole M. Barreca whose telephone number is 571-272-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 am-6: 30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

12/30/03

Art Unit 1756

NICOLE BARRECA

Nickform